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## Current Topics

- Strategic Plan Review
- OCR / "Fair Play" Progress Report


## Our "Break Away" Strategic Plan



## The Strategic Plan:

Positioned for Profitability and Growth


## Important Messages

- Executing our long-term strategic plan
- Strategic initiatives are increasingly adding to revenue
- OCR is working... accelerated growth in commercial relationships and revenue contribution
- "Fair Play" is working... accelerated growth in consumer checking households and revenue contribution
- Outlook for credit performance is for improvement manageable should the economy weaken
- Relative earnings opportunities exist in a low rate environment given current funding / deposit costs
- Strong capital position and liquidity


## Managing Our Break Away Strategy



## OCR Drives Higher Customer Profitability

## The Optimal Customer Relationship (OCR) Model



- Clearly outlined activities by segment
- Defined accountability for relationships, by segment
- Aligned goals and incentives at all levels and in all business segments
- One relationship management system - MAX
- Weekly executive results tracking, accountability, and action meetings


## Consumer Checking Account Household Growth

- 10.8\% YTD growth annualized
- $72.8 \%$ with $4+$ products or services penetration
- 3Q11 revenue of $\$ 252$ MM, up $5.0 \%$ YOY



## Sell More and Increase Share of Wallet

Consumer Checking Account Household Product Penetration

- 25 potential products or services counted: checking, savings, online bill pay, mortgage, brokerage account, insurance, etc.



## Consumer Checking Household Revenue


(1) 3 Q11 reflects lower NIM

## Commercial Relationship (1) Growth

- 8.6\% YTD growth annualized
- $29.2 \%$ with $4+$ products or services penetration
- 3Q11 revenue of $\$ 176 \mathrm{MM}$, up $15.5 \%$ YOY



## Commercial Relationship (1) Product Penetration

- Deepening relationships and accelerating product cross-sell

(1) Checking account

㢼Huntington

## Commercial Relationship ${ }^{(1)}$ Revenue

(SMM) - Migration from credit dependent to relationship based /



## 2011 Third Quarter Highlights

- \$143.4 MM reported net income, or \$0.16 EPS
- $1.05 \%$ return on average assets
- $13.0 \%$ return on average tangible common equity
\$240.7 MM pre-tax, pre-provision income ${ }^{(1)}$, down \$1.9 MM, or 1\%
\$5.8 MM, or $1 \%$, increase in fully-taxable equivalent revenue
- \$3.0 MM, or $1 \%$, increase in fully-taxable equivalent net interest income
- $8 \%$ annualized growth in average total loans... $9 \%$ C\&I and $17 \%$ auto
- $28 \%$ annualized growth in average total demand deposits
- $3.34 \%$ net interest margin, down 6 bps
- \$2.8 MM, or $1 \%$, increase in noninterest income
- \$15.5 MM gain on automobile loan securitization
- \$4.5 MM increase in service charges on deposit accounts
- \$11.0 MM decline in mortgage banking income
\$10.7 MM, or $\mathbf{2 \%}$, increase in noninterest expense
- \$8.3 MM increase in personnel costs
- \$5.7 MM increase in outside data processing and other services.
(1) See Basis of Presentation for definition, as well as PTPP Income slide for reconciliation


## 2011 Third Quarter Highlights (continued)

- Continued OCR ${ }^{(1)}$ customer success
- Consumer checking account households
- $10.8 \%$ YTD growth annualized
- $72.8 \%$ with $4+$ products or services penetration, up from $68.5 \%$ in 2Q10
- Commercial relationships
- $8.6 \%$ YTD growth annualized
- $29.2 \%$ with $4+$ products or services penetration, up from $23.0 \%$ in 2Q10
- Continued improvement in credit quality trends and strong reserves
- 7\% decrease in NCOs to an annualized rate of $0.92 \%$
- $8 \%$ decline in total NALs
- $187 \%$ ACL coverage of NALs, up from $181 \%$
- Solid capital
- $8.22 \%$ tangible common equity ratio, unchanged
- $10.17 \%$ Tier 1 common risk-based capital ratio, up 25 bps
- $12.37 \%$ and $15.11 \%$ Tier 1 and Total risk-based capital ratios, respectively


## Other Highlights

- \$1.0 B auto loan securitization
- Expansion of Auto Finance into Minnesota and Wisconsin
- Branch Expansion
- 19 new Giant Eagle in-store branches... 23 opened with 28 targeted by year end
- 3 new branches in the Detroit area
- Helga Houston to succeed Kevin Blakely as Chief Risk Officer
- Moody's Upgrade


## Quarterly Performance Highlights

|  | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EPS | \$0.16 | \$0.16 | \$0.14 | \$0.05 | \$0.10 |
| Net interest margin | 3.34\% | 3.40\% | 3.42\% | 3.37\% | 3.45\% |
| Efficiency ratio (1) | 63.5\% | 62.7\% | 64.7\% | 61.4\% | 60.6\% |
| Return on average assets | 1.05\% | 1.11\% | 0.96\% | 0.90\% | 0.76\% |
| Return on average tangible equity | 13.0\% | 13.3\% | 12.7\% | 5.6\% | 10.0\% |
| Loan and lease growth ${ }^{(2)}$ | 8\% | 5\% | 3\% | 6\% | 1\% |
| Core deposit growth (2) | 9\% | (2)\% | 3\% | 10\% | 2\% |
| Net charge-off ratio ${ }^{(3)}$ | 0.92\% | 1.01\% | 1.73\% | 1.82\% | 1.98\% |
| 90 -day delinquency ratio xld. US govt. guaranteed loans (4) | 0.16\% | 0.15\% | 0.19\% | 0.23\% | 0.25\% |
| Nonaccrual loans ratio (4.5) | 1.45\% | 1.57\% | 1.66\% | 2.04\% | 2.62\% |
| Nonperforming assets ratio (4.6) | 1.57\% | 1.67\% | 1.80\% | 2.21\% | 2.94\% |
| Nonaccrual loans coverage ratio (4,7) | 180\% | 174\% | 178\% | 161\% | 136\% |
| Tangible common equity ratio (4) | 8.22\% | 8.22\% | 7.81\% | 7.56\% | 6.20\% |
| Tier 1 common risk-based capital ratio (4) | 10.17\% | 9.92\% | 9.75\% | 9.29\% | 7.39\% |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital ratio (4) | 12.37\% | 12.14\% | 12.04\% | 11.55\% | 12.82\% |
| Total risk-based capital ratio (4) | 15.11\% | 14.89\% | 14.85\% | 14.46\% | 15.08\% |

(1) Noninterest expense less amortization of intangibles / FTE net interest income + noninterest income excluding securities (losses) gains
(2) Linked-quarter annualized average balance growth rate
(3) Annualized
(4) Period end
(5) Nonaccrual loans / total loans and leases
(6) Nonperforming assets / (total loans and leases + impaired loans held for sale + net other real estate owned)
(7) Allowance for loan and lease losses / nonaccrual loans

## Quarterly Earnings

| (\$MM) |  |  | 2Q11 |  | 3Q10 |  | Change vs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 3Q11 |  |  |  | 2Q11 | 3Q10 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Ant. | Pct. |  | Amt. |  | Pct. |  |
| Net interest income | \$ | 406.5 |  |  | S | 403.3 | \$ | 410.0 | \$ | 3.1 | 1 | \% | \$ | (3.5) | (1) |  |
| Provision |  | 43.6 |  | 35.8 |  |  |  | 119.2 |  | 7.8 | 22 |  |  | (75.6) | (63) |  |
| Noninterest income |  | 258.6 |  | 255.8 |  |  |  | 267.1 |  | 2.8 | 1 |  |  | (8.6) | (3) |  |
| Noninterest expense |  | 439.1 |  | 428.4 |  | 427.3 |  | 10.7 | 2 |  |  | 11.8 | 3 | \% |
| Pre-tax income |  | 182.3 |  | 194.9 |  | 130.6 |  | (12.6) | (6) |  |  | 51.7 | 40 |  |
| Net Income | \$ | 143.4 | \$ | 145.9 | \$ | 100.9 |  | (2.5) | (2) |  | \$ | 42.4 | 42 |  |
| EPS | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.16 | \$ | 0.10 | \$ | - | 0 | \% | \$ | 0.06 | 60 | \% |

## Significant Items Impacting Financial Performance Comparisons - Reconciliation

2011-2010 Quarterly
(in millions, except per share amounts)
Net income - reported earnings
Net income applicable to common shares

Significant items - favorable (unfavorable) im pact: Litigation reserves additions

(in milions, except per share amounts)

Net income - reported earnings Net income applicable to common shares

Significant items - favorable (unfavorable) im pact:
Deemed dividend (2)
Frankin-related
Net tax beneft recognized (2)

(1) Pre-tax unless otherwise noted
(2) After-tax


## Revenue and PTPP Growth ${ }^{(1)}$


(1) Revenue is FTE; See Basis of Presentation for definition of PTPP, as well as PTPP Income reconciliation slide
(2) Annualized

## Pre-Tax, Pre-Provision Income (1)



## Net Interest Income and Margin (1)



## Net Interest Margin - Yields and Rates



# Managing Interest Rate Risk 


(1) Estimated impact on annualized net interest income over the next 12 -month period assuming a gradual change in rates over the next 12-month period above and beyond any rate change already implied in the current yield curve.
(2) Estimated at $8 / 31 / 11$

## Noninterest Income Trends

Linked Quarter

| (in millions) | 2011 |  | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Third Quarter | Second Quarter |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amount |  | \% |  |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ 65.2 | \$ 60.7 | \$ | 4.5 | 7 | \% |
| Mortgage banking income | 12.8 | 23.8 |  | (11.0) | (46) |  |
| Trust services | 29.5 | 30.4 |  | (0.9) | (3) |  |
| Electronic banking income | 32.7 | 31.7 |  | 1.0 | 3 |  |
| Insurance income | 17.2 | 16.4 |  | 0.8 | 5 |  |
| Brokerage income | 20.3 | 20.8 |  | (0.5) | (2) |  |
| Bank ow ned life insurance income | 15.6 | 17.6 |  | (2.0) | (11) |  |
| Automobile operating lease income | 5.9 | 7.3 |  | (1.4) | (19) |  |
| Securities (losses) gains | (1.4) | 1.5 |  | (2.9) | (190) |  |
| Other income | 60.6 | 45.5 |  | 15.1 | 33 |  |
| Total noninterest income | \$ 258.6 | \$255.8 | \$ | 2.8 | 1 | \% |

## Noninterest Income Trends

| Prior-Year Quarter (in millions) | Third Quarter |  |  | Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 |  | 2010 | Amount |  | \% |
| Noninterest Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Service charges on deposit accounts | \$ | 65.2 | \$ 65.9 | \$ | (0.7) | (1) \% |
| Mortgage banking income |  | 12.8 | 52.0 |  | (39.3) | (75) |
| Trust services |  | 29.5 | 27.0 |  | 2.5 | 9 |
| Eectronic banking income |  | 32.7 | 28.1 |  | 4.6 | 16 |
| Insurance Income |  | 17.2 | 19.8 |  | (2.6) | (13) |
| Brokerage Income |  | 20.3 | 16.6 |  | 3.8 | 23 |
| Bank ow ned life insurance income |  | 15.6 | 14.1 |  | 1.6 | 11 |
| Automobile operating lease income |  | 5.9 | 11.4 |  | (5.5) | (48) |
| Securities (losses) gains |  | (1.4) | (0.3) |  | (1.1) | (356) |
| Other income |  | 60.6 | 32.6 |  | 28.1 | 86 |
| Total noninterest income | \$ | 258.6 | \$267.1 | \$ | (8.6) | (3) \% |

## Mortgage Banking Income

| (\$MM) | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Origination and secondary marketing | \$15.6 | \$11.5 | \$19.8 | \$48.2 | \$35.8 |
| Servicing fees | 12.1 | 12.4 | 12.5 | 11.5 | 12.1 |
| Amortization of capitalized servicing | (9.6) | (9.1) | (9.9) | (14.0) | (13.0) |
| Other mortgage banking income | 3.8 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.8 | 5.0 |
| Sub-total | 22.0 | 19.1 | 26.2 | 50.5 | 39.9 |
| MSR recovery (impairment) | (39.4) | (8.3) | 0.8 | 31.3 | (12.0) |
| Net trading gains (losses) | 30.2 | 13.0 | (4.3) | (28.7) | 24.2 |
| Total | \$12.8 | \$23.8 | \$22.7 | \$53.2 | \$52.0 |
| Investor servicing portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ (\$B) | \$16.1 | \$16.3 | \$16.5 | \$15.9 | \$15.7 |
| Weighted average coupon | 5.20\% | 5.23\% | 5.27\% | 5.35\% | 5.48\% |
| Originations (\$B) | \$1.0 | \$0.9 | \$0.9 | \$1.8 | \$1.6 |
| Mortgage servicing rights ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$145.3 | \$189.7 | \$202.6 | \$196.2 | \$161.6 |
| MSR \% of investor servicing portfolio ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.90\% | 1.16\% | 1.23\% | 1.23\% | 1.03\% |
| (1) End-of-period |  |  |  |  | 31 |
|  |  |  | 惯Huntıngton |  |  |

## Noninterest Expense Trends

Linked Quarter

| (in millions) | 2011 |  |  | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Third Quarter | Second <br> Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Amount |  | \% |  |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel costs | \$ 226.8 | \$ | 218.6 | \$ | 8.3 | 4 | \% |
| Outside data processing and other services | 49.6 |  | 43.9 |  | 5.7 | 13 |  |
| Net occupancy | 27.0 |  | 26.9 |  | 0.1 | 0 |  |
| Deposit and other insurance expense | 17.5 |  | 23.8 |  | (6.3) | (27) |  |
| Professional services | 20.3 |  | 20.1 |  | 0.2 | 1 |  |
| Equipment | 22.3 |  | 21.9 |  | 0.3 | 2 |  |
| Marketing | 22.3 |  | 20.1 |  | 2.1 | 11 |  |
| Amortization of intangibles | 13.4 |  | 13.4 |  | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| OREO and foreclosure expense | 4.7 |  | 4.4 |  | 0.3 | 6 |  |
| Automobile operating lease expense | 4.4 |  | 5.4 |  | (1.0) | (19) |  |
| Other expense | 31.0 |  | 29.9 |  | 1.1 | 4 |  |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 439.1 | \$ | 428.4 | \$ | 10.7 | 2 | \% |

(in thousands)

| Number of employees (full-time equivalent) | 11.5 | 11.5 | - | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Noninterest Expense Trends

| Prior-Year Quarter (in millions) | Third Quarter |  |  | Change |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 |  | Amount |  | \% |
| Noninterest Expense |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel costs | \$ 226.8 | \$ | 208.3 | \$ | 18.6 | 9 \% |
| Outside data processing and other services | 49.6 |  | 38.6 |  | 11.0 | 29 |
| Net occupancy | 27.0 |  | 26.7 |  | 0.2 | 1 |
| Deposit and other insurance expense | 17.5 |  | 23.4 |  | (5.9) | (25) |
| Professional services | 20.3 |  | 20.7 |  | (0.4) | (2) |
| Equipment | 22.3 |  | 21.7 |  | 0.6 | 3 |
| Marketing | 22.3 |  | 20.9 |  | 1.3 | 6 |
| Amortization of intangibles | 13.4 |  | 15.1 |  | (1.8) | (12) |
| OREO and foreclosure expense | 4.7 |  | 12.0 |  | (7.4) | (61) |
| Automobile operating lease expense | 4.4 |  | 9.2 |  | (4.8) | (52) |
| Other expense | 31.0 |  | 30.8 |  | 0.2 | 1 |
| Total noninterest expense | \$ 439.1 | \$ | 427.3 | \$ | 11.8 | 3 \% |
| (in thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of employees (full-time equivalent) | 11.5 |  | 11.3 |  | 0.2 | 2 \% |
|  |  |  |  |  | tingto | 33 |



## Balance Sheet - Assets



## Balance Sheet - Liabilities and Shareholders' Equity

|  | 2011 |  | 2010 | Sep '11 vs. '10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (in millions) | Sep. 30, | June 30, | Sep. 30, |  | mount | Percent |
| Liabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - non-interest bearing | \$ 9,502 | \$ 8,210 | \$ 6,926 | \$ | 2,576 | 37.2\% |
| Dermand deposits - interest bearing | 5,763 | 5,642 | 5,347 |  | 416 | 7.8\% |
| Money market deposits | 13,759 | 12,643 | 12,679 |  | 1,080 | 8.5\% |
| Savings and other domestic deposits | 4,711 | 4,752 | 4,613 |  | 98 | 2.1\% |
| Core certificates of deposit | 7,084 | 7.936 | 8,765 |  | $(1,681)$ | -19.2\% |
| Total core deposits | 40,819 | 39,183 | 38.330 |  | 2,489 | 6.5\% |
| Other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more | 421 | 436 | 730 |  | (309) | -42.3\% |
| Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs | 1,535 | 1,486 | 1,576 |  | (41) | -2.6\% |
| Deposits in foreign offices | 445 | 297 | 436 |  | 9 | 2.1\% |
| Total deposits | 43,220 | 41,402 | 41,072 |  | 2,147 | 5.2\% |
| Short-term borrow ings | 2,225 | 2,023 | 1,859 |  | 366 | 19.7\% |
| Federal Home Loan Bank advances | 14 | 220 | 24 |  | (9) | -40.1\% |
| Other long-termdebt | 1,422 | 1,635 | 2,393 |  | (972) | -40.6\% |
| Subordinated notes | 1,537 | 1,496 | 1,203 |  | 335 | 27.8\% |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,161 | 1,020 | 1,129 |  | 32 | 2.8\% |
| Total liabilities | 49,578 | 47,797 | 47,679 |  | 1,899 | 4.0\% |
| Shareholders' equity |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Preferred stock | 363 | 363 | 1,700 |  | $(1,338)$ | -78.7\% |
| Common stock | 9 | 9 | 7 |  | 1 | 20.5\% |
| Capital surplus | 7,594 | 7,588 | 6,744 |  | 850 | 12.6\% |
| Less treasury shares, at cost | (10) | (9) | (9) |  | (1) | 13.3\% |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (80) | (123) | (28) |  | (52) | NM |
| Retained earnings | $(2,474)$ | (2,575) | (2,846) |  | 372 | -13.1\% |
| Total shareholders' equity | 5,400 | 5,253 | 5,567 |  | (167) | -3.0\% |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 54,979 | \$ 53,050 | \$ 53,247 | \$ | 1,732 | 3.3\% |
|  |  |  | (1) |  | $10 n$ | 36 |

## Earning Assets and Funding Composition (1)




## Available For Sale and Other Securities



## Securities Trends ${ }^{(1)}$

| Linked Quarter - Average |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Third |  |  |  | Ch |  |
| (in millions) |  | uarter |  | uarter |  | ount | \% |
| Agency $\mathrm{CMOs}{ }^{(2)}$ | \$ | 2,971 | \$ | 3,257 | \$ | (286) | (8.8) \% |
| Agency MBS ${ }^{(2)}$ |  | 1,851 |  | 1,538 |  | 314 | 20.4 |
| U.S. Treasury \& agency debt and TLGP |  | 1,323 |  | 1,413 |  | (90) | (6.4) |
| Auto loan ABS |  | 749 |  | 744 |  | 5 | 0.7 |
| Munis |  | 322 |  | 319 |  | 3 | 0.9 |
| Non agency MBS |  | 138 |  | 160 |  | (22) | (13.8) |
| Pooled trust preferred |  | 110 |  | 108 |  | 2 | 1.9 |
| Other |  | 1,425 |  | 1,383 |  | 42 | 3.1 |
| Sub-total | \$ | 8,889 | \$ | 8,921 | \$ | (32) | (0.4) \% |
| Variable rate demand notes ${ }^{(3)}$ |  | 99 |  | 117 |  | (18) | (15.4) |
| Total available-for-sale, held-to-maturity and other securities | \$ | 8,988 | \$ | 9,038 | \$ | (50) | (0.6) \% |
| (1) Held to maturity, available for sale, and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) $\$ 665$ MM of Agency CMO / MBS classified as HTM included at amortized cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{(3)}$ Variable rate demand notes included in municipal securities in external reporting |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - Treasury/Agency debt with weighted average life of approximately 2.9 years <br> - Agency mortgages with weighted average life of approximately 3.1 years |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Securities Overview ${ }^{(1)}$ - 9/30/11

| US Treasury (SMM) | Fair Value |  | Average Credit Rating of Fair Value Amount ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AAA |  | A ${ }^{+1 /}$ |  | A +/. |  | B8B +/- |  | <BBe- |  | Not Rated |  |
|  | \$ | 53 | \$ | -.. | \$ | 53 | \$ | --- | \$ | -- | \$ | --* | \$ | -.. |
| Agency (Debt, PT, \& CMO's) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 6,321 |  | -- |  | 6,231 |  | --- |  | -.- |  | --- |  | --- |
| TLGP Debt |  | 106 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 106 |  | --- |  | -. |  | --- |  | -- |
| Asset Backed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alt-A mortgage-backed securities |  | 52 |  | --- |  | 24 |  | 9 |  | ... |  | 18 |  | 0 |
| Auto loan backed securities |  | 482 |  | 482 |  | --- |  | --- |  | $\cdots$ |  | --- |  | -- |
| Pooled-trust-preferred securfies ${ }^{(4)}$ |  | 101 |  | --- |  | .-. |  | 25 |  | --- |  | 76 |  | --- |
| Foorplan backed securities |  | 321 |  | 321 |  | $\cdots$ |  | ... |  | ... |  | -.. |  | ... |
| Private label CMO securities |  | 79 |  | 2 |  | $\cdots$ |  | 24 |  | 8 |  | 45 |  | -- |
| Municipal securites (\%) |  | 323 |  | 196 |  | 109 |  | 3 |  | 4 |  | --- |  | 12 |
| FHLB/FRB stock |  | 310 |  | --- |  | --- |  | --- |  | -- |  | --- |  | 310 |
| Other |  | 1,223 |  | 734 |  | 426 |  | 8 |  | ... |  | ... |  | 54 |
| Subtotal | \$ | 9,281 | \$ | 1,735 | \$ | 6,949 | \$ | 70 | \$ | 12 | \$ | 139 | \$ | 376 |
| Variable rate demand notes (9) |  | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total available-for-sale, held-to-maturity and other securities | \$ | 9,372 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (1) Held-to-maturity, available for sale, and other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Credit ratings reflect the lowest current rating assigned by a nationally recognized credit rating agency |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a) S658 MM of Agency CMO / MBS classified as HTM included at amortized cost |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ (4) Primarily trust preferred for banks/insurance companies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ V) Variable rate demand notes included in municipal securities in e | x | al repo |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Selected Securities - Assessment ${ }^{(1)}$



| Prime CMOs | 97 | 90 | 79 | (12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Purchased 4Q03-2Q07 |  | \% to Par Value 8 |  |  |
| - 14 securities |  |  | 81\% |  |
| - Cash flow analysis performed monthly to test for OTTI with third-party validation |  |  |  |  |

## Securities Mix Analysis




## Credit Exposure Composition

| (\$B) | 9/30/11 |  | 12/31/10 |  | 12/31/09 |  | 12/31/08 |  | 12/31/07 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Amt | Pct | Amt | Pct | Amt | Pct | Amt | Pct | Amt | Pct |
| Commercial \& industrial | \$13.9 | $36 \%$ | \$13.1 | $34 \%$ | \$12.9 | 35 \% | \$13.5 | 33 \% | \$13.1 | $33 \%$ |
| Commercial real estate | 5.9 | 15 | 6.7 | 18 | 7.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (1) } \\ & 21 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 10.1 | 24 | 9.2 | 23 |
| Total commercial | 19.9 | 51 | 19.7 | 52 | 20.6 | 56 | 23.6 | 58 | 22.3 | 56 |
| Automobile | 5.6 | 14 | 5.6 | 15 | 3.4 | 11 | 4.7 | 12 | 4.4 | 11 |
| Home equity | 8.1 | 21 | 7.7 | 20 | 7.6 | 20 | 7.6 | 18 | 7.3 | 18 |
| Residential real estate | 5.0 | 13 | 4.5 | 12 | 4.5 | 12 | 4.8 | 12 | 5.4 | 14 |
| Other consumer | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.8 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.7 | 2 |
| Total consumer | 19.1 | 49 | 18.4 | 48 | 16.2 | 44 | 17.5 | 42 | 17.7 | 44 |
| Total loans \& leases | \$39.0 | $100 \%$ | \$38.1 | 100 \% | \$36.8 | $100 \%$ | \$41.3 | $100 \%$ | \$40.1 | $100 \%$ |

(1) Decline reflects a net reclass from CRE to C\&l of $\$ 1.5 \mathrm{~B}$

## Total Loans and Leases Portfolio Overview



## Loan and Lease Trends

## Linked Quarter

| (in billions) | 2011 |  |  |  | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Third Quarter |  | Second Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amount | \% |  |
| Average Loans and Leases |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ | 13.7 |  |  | \$ | 13.4 | \$ | 0.3 | 2 | \% |
| Commercial real estate |  | 6.1 |  | 6.2 |  | (0.1) | (2) |  |
| Total commercial |  | 19.8 |  | 19.6 |  | 0.2 | 1 |  |
| Automobile |  | 6.2 |  | 6.0 |  | 0.3 | 4 |  |
| Home equity |  | 8.0 |  | 7.9 |  | 0.1 | 2 |  |
| Residential mortgage |  | 4.8 |  | 4.6 |  | 0.2 | 5 |  |
| Other consumer |  | 0.5 |  | 0.5 |  | (0.0) | (3) |  |
| Total consumer |  | 19.5 |  | 18.9 |  | 0.6 | 3 |  |
| Total loans and leases | \$ | 39.3 | \$ | 38.5 | \$ | 0.8 | 2 | \% |

## Loan and Lease Trends

| Prior-Year Quarter <br> (in billions) | Third Quarter |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | Amount | \% |
| Average Loans and Leases |  |  |  |  |
| Commercial and industrial | \$ 13.7 | \$ 12.4 | \$ 1.3 | 10 \% |
| Commercial real estate | 6.1 | 7.1 | (1.0) | (14) |
| Total commercial | 19.8 | 19.5 | 0.3 | 2 |
| Automobile | 6.2 | 5.1 | 1.1 | 21 |
| Home equity | 8.0 | 7.6 | 0.4 | 6 |
| Residential mortgage | 4.8 | 4.4 | 0.4 | 9 |
| Other consumer | 0.5 | 0.7 | (0.1) | (20) |
| Total consumer | 19.5 | 17.7 | 1.8 | 10 |
| Total loans and leases | \$ 39.3 | \$37.2 | \$ 2.1 | 6 \% |

## Gross Loan Growth



Source: SNL; company reports
MTB \& CMA's growth reflect acquisitions


## Total Commercial Loans - Granularity



## Commercial Loans - Risk Grade Distribution

## Percent of End of Period Balances




## C\&I- Overview

## EOP Outstandings - \$13.9 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Diversified by sector and geographically within our Midwest footprint
- Granular
- 7 loans >\$50 million... 4\% of portfolio
- 65 loans $\$ 20$ - $\$ 50$ million... $13 \%$ of the portfolio
- Focus on middle market companies with $\$ 15-\$ 500$ million in sales

| Credit Quality Trends | 3Q11 | 2 Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 7 \%}$ | $0.27 \%$ | $0.37 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ | $0.97 \%$ |
| 90+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| NCOs ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 2 \%}$ | $0.56 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | $1.85 \%$ | $2.01 \%$ |
| NALs $^{(2)}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 5 0 \%}$ | $1.69 \%$ | $1.96 \%$ | $2.65 \%$ | $3.21 \%$ |
| ACL ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\mathbf{2 . 2 6 \%}$ | $2.31 \%$ | $2.48 \%$ | $2.86 \%$ | $3.07 \%$ |

## C\&I - Credit Risk Management Strategies

## What We Do

- Lend to defined relationship oriented clients
- Follow disciplined credit policies and processes
- Understand our client's market / industry and their durable competitive advantage
- Underwrite to historical cash flows with collateral as a secondary repayment source
- Emphasize risk / return structure and pricing
- Stress testing for lower earnings / higher interest rates
- Monthly review of criticized and classified loans


## C\&I Loan Portfolio Composition



## C\&I - Trends



Change Analysis

| (\$MM) | 3Q11 vs. | 3Q11 vs. |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
|  | 2Q11 | 3Q10 |
| Originations | $\$ 961$ | $\$ 3,587$ |
| Net payments / payoffs <br> / takedowns | $(545)$ | $(1,824)$ |
| Net reclassifications | 7 | $(83)$ |
| Charge-offs | $(29)$ | $(166)$ |
| Net change | $\$ 395$ | $\$ 1,514$ |
|  | $2.9 \%$ | $12.2 \%$ |

## C\&I - Auto Industry




## CRE - Overview

## EOP Outstandings - \$5.9 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Granular portfolio with geographic and project diversification throughout our footprint
- Construction lending targeted to major metro markets

CRE - Construction ( $\$ 0.5$ Billion)

- $53 \%$ reduction in balances since $6 / 30 / 10$
- $65 \%$ of current balances to "Core" CRE relationships
- Centralized construction portfolio management within CRE segment

Credit Quality Trends $30+$ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ $90+$ days PD \& accruing (2) NCOs - construction ${ }^{(3)}$ NCOs - nonconstruction ${ }^{(3)}$ NALs ${ }^{(2)}$ ACL (2)

| 3 Q11 | 2 Q11 | 1 Q11 | 4Q10 | 3 Q10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{0 . 8 3 \%}$ | $0.45 \%$ | 1.49 | $1.45 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ |
| - | - | - | - | - |
| $0.87 \%$ | $2.99 \%$ | $18.6 \%$ | $6.19 \%$ | $7.25 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{1 . 6 9 \%}$ | $1.65 \%$ | $2.66 \%$ | $2.22 \%$ | $3.01 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{4 . 3 3 \%}$ | $4.73 \%$ | $4.86 \%$ | $5.47 \%$ | $6.93 \%$ |
| $\mathbf{7 . 1 5 \%}$ | $7.63 \%$ | $8.25 \%$ | $8.94 \%$ | $9.58 \%$ |

## CRE - Portfolio Composition

## EOP Outstandings - \$5.9 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$



Mini-perm Traditional - Typically 2- to 5-year term loans to allow properties to reach stabilized operating levels after construction, rehab, or repositioning.
Permanent Qualified - Loans with 5 years or less term with properties that have reached a stabilized physical occupancy and exhibit an operational cash flow which would qualify for permanent financing during normalized market conditions.
Permanent - Amortizing loans with terms of 10 to 25 years.

## CRE - Trends



## CRE - Change Analysis

| 2 Q11 | Construction | Commercial | Total CRE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 31, 2011 | $\$ 587$ | $\$ 5,711$ | $\$ 6,298$ |
| New originations | 0 | 87 | 87 |
| Net pay-offs / takedowns / other | 8 | $(192)$ | $(184)$ |
| Charge-offs | $(4)$ | $(33)$ | $(37)$ |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$ 591$ | $\$ 5,573$ | $\$ 6,164$ |
| Net change | $\$ 4$ | $\$(138)$ | $\$(134)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 3Q11 | Construction | Commercial | Total CRE |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$ 591$ | $\$ 5,573$ | $\$ 6,164$ |
| New originations | 0 | 140 | 140 |
| Net pay-offs / takedowns / other | $(67)$ | $(273)$ | $(340)$ |
| Charge-offs | $(4)$ | $(25)$ | $(30)$ |
| September 30, 2011 | $\$ 520$ | $\$ 5,414$ | $\$ 5,934$ |
| Net change | $\$(71)$ | $\$(159)$ | $\$(230)$ |

## CRE - Core Characteristics

## EOP Outstandings - \$3.9 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Long-term meaningful relationships... many have been customers for 20+ years with opportunities for additional cross-sell
- Well-seasoned regional or institutional owners, developers, and organizations
- Primarily Midwest footprint projects generating adequate return on capital
- Proven CRE participants... 28+ years average CRE experience
- $95+\%$ of the loans have personal guarantees
- The portfolio continues to perform well with $0.66 \%$ nonaccrual loans


## CRE - Noncore Characteristics

## EOP Outstandings - \$2.1 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Limited opportunity to gain overall banking relationship
- Includes numerous performing, pass-rated loans not meeting desired return on capital
- Includes 58\% "criticized" loans from the overall CRE portfolio
- $25 \%$ aggressive credit mark
- Updated values to incorporate current market conditions
- Limited future funding requirements... $\sim \$ 44 \mathrm{MM}$
- 95+\% have guarantors
- $99 \%$ is secured debt
- $95 \%$ is within our geographic footprint
- $66 \%$ are "pass" grade or better


## CRE - Noncore Characteristics

## Special Assets Department (SAD) (\$0.9 Billion)

- 42\% aggressive credit mark
- Actively working to exit... more aggressive terms - e.g., higher pricing, shorter amortization, sale, etc.
- $72 \%$ of "criticized" loans are managed within SAD


## Other (\$1.2 Billion)

- $9 \%$ credit mark represents... $3.4 \times$ coverage of NALs
- 30+ days past due of only $\$ 22$ MM (1.94\%)
- Includes $\$ 458$ MM of small dollar Investment Real Estate loans
- Not a strategic focus going forward
- Very granular risk assessment
- Actively managing within the context of an exit orientation... though may have opportunities to develop some into fuller, more profitable relationships


## CRE - Core vs. Noncore

| (\$MM) | O/S | ACL | Criticized | NALs | Prior Charge-offs ${ }^{(1)}$ | ACL | Credit Mark ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/30/11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Core Total | \$3,985 | \$140 | \$424 | \$26 | \$ 11 | 3.51\% | 3.78\% |
| Noncore SAD | 988 | 236 | 616 | 239 | 322 | 23.89 | 42.60 |
| Noncore Other | 1,191 | 95 | 224 | 26 | 13 | 7.98 | 8.97 |
| Noncore Total | 2,179 | 331 | 840 | 266 | 335 | 15.19 | 26.49 |
| CRE Total | \$6,164 | \$471 | \$1,263 | \$292 | \$346 | 7.64\% | 12.55\% |

9/30/11

| Core Total | $\$ 3,871$ | $\$ 122$ | $\$ 504$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 1 5} \%$ | $\mathbf{3 . 5 5} \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Noncore SAD | 910 | 213 | 502 | 202 | 286 | 23.41 | 41.72 |
| Noncore Other | 1,153 | 89 | 196 | 30 | 14 | 7.72 | 8.83 |
| Noncore Total | $\mathbf{2 , 0 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 4 . 6 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 5 . 4 8}$ |
| CRE Total | $\$ 5,934$ | $\$ 424$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{7 . 1 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 . 8 4 \%}$ |

(1) Prior charge-offs represent activity on existing accounts as of date shown, not cumulative for the portfolio
(2) Credit mark $=(\mathrm{ACL}+$ prior charge-offs) $/$ ( outstandings + prior charge-offs)

## CRE - Core vs. Noncore Change Analysis

| 2Q11 | Core | Noncore | Total CRE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| March 31, 2011 | $\$ 3,912$ | $\$ 2,387$ | $\$ 6,298$ |
| Originations | 87 | 0 | 87 |
| Net payments / takedowns / other | $(45)$ | $(140)$ | $(185)$ |
| Charge-offs | $(3)$ | $(34)$ | $(37)$ |
| Classification changes | 35 | $(34)$ | 1 |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$ 3,985$ | $\$ 2,179$ | $\$ 6,164$ |


| 3Q11 | Core | Noncore | Total CRE |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| June 30, 2011 | $\$ 3,985$ | $\$ 2,179$ | $\$ 6,164$ |
| Originations | 140 | 0 | 140 |
| Net payments / takedowns / other | $(211)$ | $(112)$ | $(322)$ |
| Charge-offs | $(5)$ | $(24)$ | $(30)$ |
| Classification changes | $(37)$ | 20 | $(18)$ |
| September 30, 2011 | $\$ 3,872$ | $\$ 2,063$ | $\$ \mathbf{5 , 9 3 4}$ |
| Net change | $\$(113)$ | $\$(116)$ | $\$(230)$ |

## CRE - Maturity Schedule

By Loan Type - 9/30/11

| (\$MM) | Within 12 Mos. | $\begin{aligned} & 1-2 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2-5 \\ & \text { Years } \end{aligned}$ | 5+Years | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Construction | \$ 334 | \$ 128 | \$ 51 | \$ 6 | \$ 520 |
| Lines / letters of credit | 71 | 2 | 11 | 10 | 94 |
| Non project loans | 209 | 58 | 102 | 72 | 441 |
| Mini-perm traditional | 1,271 | 768 | 592 | 5 | 2,635 |
| Permanent qualified | 188 | 111 | 202 | 90 | 592 |
| Permanent | 377 | 332 | 488 | 456 | 1,653 |
| Total CRE | \$2,450 | \$1,399 | \$1,446 | \$ 640 | \$5,934 |
| Core | \$1,468 | \$957 | \$1,073 | \$373 | \$3,871 |
| Noncore SAD | 568 | 149 | 136 | 61 | 910 |
| Noncore Other | 413 | 294 | 237 | 210 | 1,153 |



## Consumer Loans and Leases - 9/30/11

## By Loan Type

| $\quad(\$ B)$ | Amt. | Pct. |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Automobile | $\$ 5.5$ | $29 \%$ |
| Home equity | 8.1 | 42 |
| Residential RE | 5.0 | 26 |
| Other consumer | 0.5 | 3 |
| $\quad$ Total consumer | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |



- Automobile $\quad$ Home equity
- Residential mortgage $=$ Other consumer


## Consumer Loan Credit Risk Management Objective

## Manage the Probability of Default

- Footprint Portfolio... markets we know and understand
- Client Selection... bias for high quality customers and relationship lending vs. third-party originations
- Disciplined Underwriting... borrower ability to repay, collateral value, and stress testing when appropriate


## Automobile - Overview

## EOP Outstandings - \$5.6 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Consistency of strategy and commitment to dealers
- Focus on high service quality and full dealer relationships
- Since 2001 focused on super-prime customers $>750$ FICOs
- Fully automated origination and booking system

| Credit Quality Trends | $3 Q 11$ | $2 Q 11$ | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | $0.98 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | $0.89 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | $1.17 \%$ |
| 90+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 1 0 \%}$ | $0.07 \%$ | $0.09 \%$ | $0.14 \%$ | $0.17 \%$ |
| NCOs $^{(3)}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 5 \%}$ | $0.15 \%$ | $0.33 \%$ | $0.51 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| NALs $^{(2)}$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

- Credit quality continues to perform within expectations
- Lease portfolio is declining due to the strategic exit of the business in 4Q08; the declining portfolio balance creates a higher loss rate with more volatility


## Auto Lending - Credit Risk Management Strategies

## Performance Drivers

- Borrower quality - as measured at origination by:
- FICO score - Super Prime with consistent increasing trend
- FICO score distribution - consistent decline in <670 levels
- Custom Score - utilized to further segment FICO eligible applications - continues to enhance predictive modeling
- Loan to value - Significantly reduced LTV across all origination segments
- Decision type - Significantly reduced the level of underwriter overrule decisions
- Used car values - Stabilization in the Manheim Market Index

Risk Recognition

- $80 \%$ of losses recognized in first 24 months on books
- Shape of cumulative loss curves has remained steady
- Loss trends are predictable

Outlook

- Active portfolio management and policy development over the past 5 years
- Origination quality has moderated losses even in the face of more difficult economic conditions
- Expect to see continued decline in losses

|  | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 | 2Q10 | 1Q10 | 4Q09 | 3Q09 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Originations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Amount (\$MM) | \$980 | \$1,018 | \$ 795 | \$ 796 | \$ 1,010 | \$ 944 | \$ 678 | \$ 516 | \$ 394 |
| \% new vehicles | 56\% | 52\% | 44\% | 48\% | 50\% | 49\% | 42\% | 41\% | 44\% |
| Avg. LTV ${ }^{(1)}$ | 88\% | 89\% | 88\% | 88\% | 89\% | 88\% | 87\% | 89\% | 91\% |
| Avg. FICO (1) | 762 | 760 | 758 | 764 | 767 | 770 | 769 | 771 | 763 |
| Expected cumulative loss | 0.83\% | 0.88\% | 0.88\% | 0.78\% | 0.77\% | 0.72\% | 0.70\% | 0.65\% | 0.74\% |
| Portfolio Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $30+$ days PD \& accruing \% | 0.96\% | 0.85\% | 0.87\% | 1.18\% | 1.12\% | 1.20\% | 1.30\% | 1.98\% | 2.02\% |
| Year-to-Date NCO \% | 0.24\% | 0.24\% | 0.33\% | 0.53\% | 0.53\% | 0.61\% | 0.76\% | 1.51\% | 1.52\% |
| Vintage Performance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 -month losses ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.04\% | 0.03\% | 0.03\% | 0.04\% |
| 9 -month losses ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  | 0.07\% | 0.09\% | 0.07\% | 0.08\% | 0.08\% |
| 12-month losses ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0.14\% | 0.11\% | 0.12\% | 0.15\% |
| (1) Annualized |  |  |  |  |  | dillinntington |  |  | 75 |

## Home Equity - Overview

## EOP Outstandings - \$8.1 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Focused on geographies within our Midwest footprint
- Focused on high quality borrowers... $>730$ FICOs
- Began exit of broker channel in $2005 \ldots<7 \%$ of outstandings today
- Conservative underwriting - manage the probability of default
- High risk borrower actions
- Updated collateral values
- Proactive contact via servicing group
- Capped lines

Credit Quality Trends

|  | 3Q11 | 2 Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $30+$ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | $1.17 \%$ | $1.09 \%$ | $1.21 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | $1.39 \%$ |
| $90+$ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(2)}$ | $0.25 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.31 \%$ | $0.30 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ |
| NCOs $^{(3)}$ | $1.31 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | $1.38 \%$ | $1.51 \%$ | $1.47 \%$ |
| NALs $^{(2)}$ | $0.46 \%$ | $0.42 \%$ | $0.32 \%$ | $0.29 \%$ | $0.28 \%$ |

- Credit quality continues to perform within expectations


## Home Equity - Credit Risk Management Strategies

## Performance Drivers

- Borrower quality - as measured at origination by
- Custom Score - utilized to further segment FICO eligible applications - continues to enhance predictive modeling
- FICO score - consistent increasing trend, with very limited under 670 production
- Updated borrower quality based on quarterly re-score is consistent
- Payments $-70+\%$ of borrowers consistently make more than required payment
- Geography - Footprint lender with limited investor property exposure
- Broker Channel - Eliminated in 2006 based on risk profile
- Customer relationship orientation - not one-off transactions

Risk Recognition

- Major focus on loss mitigation since 2008-70\% of loan modifications continue to pay according to the modified terms
- Write-down to discounted current value less selling costs at 120 days past due
- Nonaccrual balances represent the realizable value estimate in future periods


## Originations

- Average FICO scores of 750+ with average LTVs of $<85 \%$ for 2 nd-liens and $<75 \%$ for 1 st-liens.

Outlook

- Expect losses to be elevated compared to historical norms throughout 2011
- Consistent to improved borrower quality based on updated FICO scores


## Residential Mortgages - Overview

## EOP Outstandings - \$5.0 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Focused on geographies within our Midwest footprint
- Traditional product mix... very limited nontraditional exposure as we never originated sub-prime, payment option ARMs, or negative amortization loans
- $\$ 497$ MM of Interest Only loans... targeted within executive relocation activities
- $\$ 281$ MM of Alt-A mortgages... exited in 2007

| Credit Quality Trends ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3Q11 | 2 Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 30+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(3)}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 3 0 \%}$ | $4.32 \%$ | $4.1 \%$ | $4.6 \%$ | $5.24 \%$ |
| 90+ days PD \& accruing ${ }^{(3)}$ | $0.66 \%$ | $0.72 \%$ | $0.93 \%$ | $1.20 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ |
| NCOs ${ }^{(4)}$ | $0.97 \%$ | $1.44 \%$ | $1.70 \%$ | $2.42 \%$ | $1.73 \%$ |
| NALs ${ }^{(3)}$ | $1.23 \%$ | $1.26 \%$ | $0.99 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $1.84 \%$ |

- Credit quality continues to perform within expectations
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { (1) } 9 / 30 / 11 & \text { (2) Excludes GNMA loans - no additional risk as they are approved for repurchase } & \text { (3) End of Period }\end{array}$
(4) Annualized; 4Q10 includes $\$ 16.4 \mathrm{MM}$ related to loans sold and $\$ 4.6 \mathrm{MM}$ Franklin-related recovery


## Residential Mortgages Credit Risk Management Strategies

## Performance Drivers

- Standard products and borrower quality - as measured at origination by:
- Secondary market underwriting
- FICO score - consistent increasing trend
- FICO score distribution - consistent decline in low score levels
- Non-standard product structures
- \$497 MM of Interest Only loans... targeted within executive relocation activities... continues to perform well
- \$281 MM of Alt-A mortgages... exited in 2007... represents 6\% of total residential portfolio with majority of cumulative losses likely recognized within 24 months
- Decision type - Significantly reduced the level of underwriter overrule decisions
- Geography - Primarily a footprint lender


## Residential Mortgages Credit Risk Management Strategies

## Risk Recognition

- Write down to discounted current value less selling costs at 150 days past due
- Nonaccrual balances represent the realizable value estimate in future periods

Continued Focus on Borrower's Ability to Pay for New Originations

- All loans are fully documented
- Underwritten to secondary market standards

Loss Mitigation

- Home Savers program - 20\% recidivism
- Early identification of loss mitigation candidates - i.e., pre-delinquency via predictive modeling
- Decrease foreclosure activity in favor of loan modifications and short sales
- Rewrite / modify customers with a focus on reducing principal quickly
- Create saleable structures where possible
- Income verification in all cases to maximize re-performance probability

Account Management

- Proactive contact six months prior to ARM resets


## Residential Mortgages - LTV, FICO, Originations

|  | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ending balance (\$B) | \$5.0 | \$4.8 | \$4.5 | \$4.5 | \$4.5 |
| Average LTV | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 77\% | 77\% |
| Average FICO (1) | 731 | 729 | 723 | 721 | 719 |
| Originations ${ }^{(2)}$ (\$MM) | \$351 | \$447 | \$304 | \$427 | \$485 |
| Average LTV ${ }^{(3)}$ | 83\% | 86\% | 82\% | 81\% | 83\% |
| Average FICO ${ }^{(3)}$ | 760 | 759 | 755 | 759 | 758 |
| (1) Weighted average FICOs reflect currently updated customer credit scores <br> (2) Only owned-portfolio originations <br> (3) Weighted average at origination |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 即Huntı | - 81 |

## Other Consumer Ioans

## EOP Outstandings - \$0.5 Billion ${ }^{(1)}$

- Over collateralized
- Autos, untitled vehicles, small boats, mobile homes and other miscellaneous
- Primarily for existing customers
- Performed within expectations over the past year, though varies by collateral type



## Credit Quality Trends Overview

|  | $3 Q 11$ | 2 Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net charge-off ratio | $0.92 \%$ | $1.01 \%$ | $1.73 \%$ | $1.82 \%$ | $1.98 \%$ |
| 90+ days PD and accruing ${ }^{(1)}$ | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.25 |
| NAL ratio $^{(2)}$ | 1.45 | 1.57 | 1.66 | 2.04 | 2.62 |
| NPA ratio $^{(3)}$ | 1.57 | 1.67 | 1.80 | 2.21 | 2.94 |
| Criticized asset ratio $^{(4)}$ | 6.78 | 6.93 | 7.90 | 9.15 | 11.02 |
| ALLL ratio | 2.61 | 2.74 | 2.96 | 3.28 | 3.56 |
| ALLL / NAL coverage | 180 | 174 | 178 | 161 | 136 |
| ALLL / NPA coverage | 166 | 164 | 164 | 148 | 121 |
| ACL ratio | 2.71 | 2.84 | 3.07 | 3.39 | 3.67 |
| ACL/ Criticized assets ${ }^{(4)}$ | 39.95 | 41.00 | 38.85 | 36.98 | 33.20 |
| ACL / NAL coverage | 187 | 181 | 185 | 166 | 140 |
| ACL / NPA coverage | 172 | 170 | 170 | 153 | 125 |

(1) Excludes loans guaranteed by the U.S. Government
(2) NALs divided by total loans and leases
(3) NPAs divided by the sum of loans and leases, impaired loans held for sale, net other real estate and other NPAs
(4) Criticized assets = commercial criticized loans + consumer loans $>60$ DPD + OREO; Total criticized assets divided by the sum of loans and leases, impaired loans held for sale, net other real estate and other NPAs

## Total Commercial Loan - Delinquencies ${ }^{(1)}$



## Total Consumer Loan Delinquencies ${ }^{(1)}$



## Consumer Loan Delinquencies ${ }^{(1)}$


(1) Period end; delinquent but accruing as a \% of related outstandings at EOP
(2) Excludes GNMA FAS 140 government guaranteed

## Net Charge-Offs


(1) Includes $\$ 16.4 \mathrm{MM}$ related to $\$ 39.8 \mathrm{MM}$ of residential mortgages sold and a $\$ 4.4 \mathrm{MM}$ Franklin-related recovery

慨|Huntington

## Net Charge-Offs

| (SMM) | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial and industrial | \$17.9 | \$18.7 | \$42.2 | \$59.1 | \$62.2 |
| Commercial real estate | 24.4 | 27.6 | 67.7 | 44.9 | 63.7 |
| Total commercial | 42.3 | 46.3 | 109.9 | 104.0 | 125.9 |
| Automobile | 3.9 | 2.3 | 4.7 | 7.0 | 5.6 |
| Home equity | 26.2 | 25.4 | 26.7 | 29.2 | 27.8 |
| Residential mortgages ${ }^{(1)}$ | 11.6 | 16.5 | 18.9 | 26.8 | 19.0 |
| Other | 6.6 | 7.1 | 4.9 | 5.3 | 6.3 |
| Total consumer | 48.2 | 51.2 | 55.2 | 68.3 | 58.6 |
| Total | \$90.6 | \$97.5 | \$165.1 | \$172.3 | \$184.5 |
| Commercial and industrial | 0.52\% | 0.56\% | 1.29\% | 1.85\% | 2.01\% |
| Commercial real estate | 1.60 | 1.77 | 4.15 | 2.64 | 3.60 |
| Total commercial | 0.86 | 0.94 | 2.24 | 2.13 | 2.59 |
| Automobile | 0.25 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.51 | 0.43 |
| Home equity | 1.31 | 1.29 | 1.38 | 1.51 | 1.47 |
| Residential mortgages ${ }^{(2)}$ | 0.97 | 1.44 | 1.70 | 2.42 | 1.73 |
| Other | 5.05 | 5.27 | 3.47 | 3.66 | 3.83 |
| Total consumer | 0.99 | 1.08 | 1.20 | 1.50 | 1.32 |
| Total | 0.92\% | 1.01\% | 1.73\% | 1.82\% | 1.98\% |

(1) 4 Q10 Includes $\$ 16.4 \mathrm{MM}$ related to the sale of $\$ 39.8 \mathrm{MM}$ of residential mortgages

## Nonaccrual Loans and Nonperforming Assets



## Nonaccrual Loans (NALs) and Nonperforming Assets (NPAs)


() Other nonperforming assets represent an investment security backed by a municipal bond
(2) Total NALs as a \% of total loans and leases
(3) Total NPAs as a \% of sum of loans and leases, impaired loans held for sale, and net other real estate

## Nonperforming Asset Flow Analysis

| (\$MM) | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NPA beginning-of-period | \$652.9 | \$690.9 | \$844.8 | \$1,104.9 | \$1,582.7 |
| Additions / increases | 153.6 | 210.3 | 192.0 | 237.8 | 278.4 |
| Franklin - net impact | (0.3) | (5.1) | (3.5) | (5.9) | (251.4) |
| Return to accruing status | (25.8) | (68.4) | (70.9) | (100.1) | (111.2) |
| Loan and lease losses | (80.0) | (74.9) | (128.7) | (126.1) | (151.0) |
| OREO gains (losses) | (0.2) | 0.4 | 1.5 | (5.1) | (5.3) |
| Payments | (76.5) | (73.0) | (87.0) | (191.3) | (210.6) |
| Sales | (9.7) | (27.1) | (57.2) | (69.6) | (26.7) |
| NPA end-of-period | \$614.0 | \$652.9 | \$690.9 | \$844.8 | \$1,104.9 |
| Percent change | (6)\% | (5)\% | (18)\% | (24)\% | (30)\% |
|  |  |  | 憎 Huntington |  | 92 |

## Total Commercial Loans Criticized Loan Flow Analysis

Period End

| (\$MM) | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Criticized beginning-of-period | \$2,379 | \$2,661 | \$3,074 | \$3,638 | \$4,106 |
| Additions / increases | 357 | 250 | 170 | 290 | 408 |
| Advances | 46 | 44 | 62 | 52 | 75 |
| Upgrades to "Pass" | (252) | (272) | (239) | (383) | (391) |
| Paydowns | (181) | (232) | (295) | (401) | (409) |
| Charge-offs | (58) | (73) | (112) | (121) | (152) |
| Criticized end-of-period | \$2,291 | \$2,379 | \$2,661 | \$3,074 | \$3,638 |
| Percent change | (4)\% | (11)\% | (13)\% | (15)\% | (11)\% |

## Accruing Loans 90 Days Past Due and Troubled Debt Restructured Loans

| (in millions) | 2011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2010 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sep. 30 |  | Jun. 30 |  |  | Mar. 31 |  |  | Dec. 31 |  |  | Sep. 30 |  |  |
| Accruing loans and leases past due 90 days or more: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. Government | \$ | 61.0 | \$ | 57.7 |  | \$ | 73.6 |  | \$ | 87.7 |  | \$ | 95.4 |  |
| Loans guaranteed by the U.S. Government |  | 84.4 |  | 77.0 |  |  | 94.4 |  |  | 98.3 |  |  | 94.2 |  |
| Total loans and leases |  | 145.4 |  | 134.6 |  | \$ | 168.0 |  | \$ | 185.9 |  | \$ | 189.6 |  |
| Ratios () |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Excluding loans guaranteed by the U.S. government |  | 0.16 \% |  | 0.15 | \% |  | 0.19 | \% |  | 0.23 | \% |  | 0.25 | \% |
| Guaranteed by U.S. government |  | 0.21 |  | 0.19 |  |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.26 |  |
| Including loans guaranteed by the U.S. government |  | 0.37 |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.44 |  |  | 0.49 |  |  | 0.51 |  |
| Accruing troubled debt restructured loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages | \$ | 304.4 | \$ | 313.8 |  | \$ | 333.5 |  | \$ | 328.4 |  | \$ | 304.4 |  |
| Other consumer |  | 89.6 |  | 75.0 |  |  | 78.5 |  |  | 76.6 |  |  | 73.2 |  |
| Commercial |  | 321.6 |  | 240.1 |  |  | 206.5 |  |  | 222.6 |  |  | 158.0 |  |
| Total accruing troubled debt restructured loans |  | 715.6 |  | 628.9 |  |  | 618.4 |  |  | 627.6 |  |  | 535.5 |  |
| Nonaccruing troubled debt restructured loans: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Residential mortgages |  | 20.9 |  | 14.4 |  |  | 8.5 |  |  | 5.8 |  |  | 10.6 |  |
| Other consumer |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | - |  |  | - |  |
| Commercial |  | 74.3 |  | 77.7 |  |  | 37.9 |  |  | 33.5 |  |  | 33.2 |  |
| Total nonaccruing troubled debt restructured loans |  | 95.4 |  | 92.3 |  |  | 46.4 |  |  | 39.3 |  |  | 43.8 |  |
| Total troubled debt restructured loans | § | 811.0 | \$ | 721.2 |  | \$ | 664.8 |  | \$ | 666.9 |  | \$ | 579.4 |  |

[^0]
## Provision, NCO, and ACL



## Relative Performance - ALLL / NAL Coverage



Source: SNL; company reports

## Relative Performance - LLR/Loans Ratios



Source: SNL; company reports

Rapid Improvement in Credit Quality Nonaccrual Loans Ratio




## Deposits

Core CD Maturities \&
Avg. Maturity Rate
Avg. Total Deposits - Rate / Mix
Rate1.21\% 1.06\% $0.90 \% \quad 0.82 \% \quad 0.77 \%$



## Deposit Trends

## Linked Quarter

| (in billions) | 2011 |  |  |  | Change |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Third Quarter |  | Second <br> Quarter |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Amount | \% |  |
| Average Deposits |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - noninterest bearing | \$ | 8.7 |  |  | \$ | 7.8 | \$ | 0.9 | 12 | \% |
| Demand deposits - interest bearing |  | 5.6 |  | 5.6 |  | 0.0 | 0 |  |
| Money market deposits |  | 13.3 |  | 12.9 |  | 0.4 | 3 |  |
| Savings and other domestic deposits |  | 4.8 |  | 4.8 |  | (0.0) | (1) |  |
| Core certificates of deposit |  | 7.6 |  | 8.1 |  | (0.5) | (6) |  |
| Total core deposits |  | 40.0 |  | 39.1 |  | 0.9 | 2 |  |
| Other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more |  | 0.4 |  | 0.5 |  | (0.1) | (17) |  |
| Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs |  | 1.5 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.2 | 15 |  |
| Other deposits |  | 0.4 |  | 0.3 |  | 0.1 | 16 |  |
| Total deposits | \$ | 42.3 | \$ | 41.3 | \$ | 1.0 | 2 | \% |

## Deposit Trends

| Prior-Year Quarter(in billions) | Third Quarter |  | Change |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2011 | 2010 | Amount | \% |
| Average Deposits |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - noninterest bearing | \$ 8.7 | \$ 6.8 | \$ 2.0 | 29 \% |
| Demand deposits - interest bearing | 5.6 | 5.3 | 0.3 | 5 |
| Money market deposits | 13.3 | 12.3 | 1.0 | 8 |
| Savings and other domestic deposits | 4.8 | 4.6 | 0.1 | 2 |
| Core certificates of deposit | 7.6 | 8.9 | (1.4) | (15) |
| Total core deposits | 40.0 | 38.0 | 1.9 | 5 |
| Other domestic deposits of \$250,000 or more | 0.4 | 0.7 | (0.3) | (44) |
| Brokered deposits and negotiable CDs | 1.5 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 3 |
| Other deposits | 0.4 | 0.5 | (0.1) | (11) |
| Total deposits | \$ 42.3 | \$40.6 | \$ 1.6 | 4 \% |

## Total Core Deposit Trends

| Average (\$B) | 3Q11 | Annualized Grow th ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3Q11 v } \\ \text { 3Q10 } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { 3Q11 v } \\ \text { 2Q11 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \text { Q11 } \mathrm{v} \\ \text { 1Q11 } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Commercial |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - non-interest bearing | \$ 7.4 | 52 \% | 23 \% | 29 \% |
| Demand deposits - interest bearing | 1.1 | 54 | 37 | (1) |
| Other core deposits ${ }^{(2)}$ | 6.0 | 38 | (8) | 18 |
| Total | 14.5 | 46 | 10 | 22 |
| Consumer |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - non-interest bearing | 1.3 | 20 | 40 | 25 |
| Demand deposits - interest bearing | 4.5 | (10) | 11 | 6 |
| Other core deposits ${ }^{(2)}$ | 19.7 | (12) | (14) | (6) |
| Total | 25.4 | (11) | (7) | (3) |
| Total |  |  |  |  |
| Demand deposits - non-interest bearing | 8.7 | 47 | 26 | 29 |
| Demand deposits - interest bearing | 5.6 | 1 | 16 | 5 |
| Other core deposits ${ }^{(2)}$ | 25.6 | (3) | (13) | (1) |
| Total | \$39.9 | 8 \% | (2) \% | 5 \% |

(1) Linked-quarter percent change annualized
(2) Includes core CDs, savings, and other deposits

## Other Funding




## Capital (1)

|  | 3Q11 | 2Q11 | 1Q11 | 4Q10 | 3Q10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tang. common equity/ tang. assets | 8.22\% | 8.22\% | 7.81\% | 7.56\% | 6.20\% |
| Tang. equity/tang. assets | 8.88 | 8.91 | 8.51 | 8.24 | 9.43 |
| Tier 1 common risk-based capital | 10.17 | 9.92 | 9.75 | 9.29 | 7.39 |
| Tier 1 leverage | 10.24 | 10.25 | 9.80 | 9.41 | 10.54 |
| Tier 1 risk-based capital | 12.37 | 12.14 | 12.04 | 11.55 | 12.82 |
| Total risk-based capital | 15.11 | 14.89 | 14.85 | 14.46 | 15.08 |
| Total risk-weighted assets (\$B) | \$44.4 | \$44.1 | \$43.0 | \$43.5 | \$42.7 |
| Double leverage ${ }^{(2)}$ | 90 | 88 | 88 | 87 | 78 |
| (1) Period end (2) (Parent company investments in subsidiaries + goodw |  |  |  |  |  |
| (2) (Parent company investments in subsidiaries + goodwill) / equity |  |  | 㦥 Huntıngton |  | 107 |

## Capital Ratios ${ }^{(1)}$



## Capital


(1) 4 Q 10 - Raised $\$ 920 \mathrm{MM}$ of common equity and repurchased $\$ 1.4 \mathrm{~B}$ of TARP related preferred shares

## Capital Analysis - 9/30/11



Source: SNL. Company reports.


## Huntington Bancshares Overview

Midwest financial services holding company
Founded
Headquarters - Columbus, Ohio
Total assets - \$55 Billion
Employees ${ }^{(1)} \quad-11,473$
Franchise:

| Footprint | 6 states: OH, MI, PA, IN, WV, KY |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 650 branches /1,348 ATMs |
| Retail and Business Banking | 5 Areas |
| $\quad$ - Mortgage banking | + MD, NJ |
| Commercial Banking | 11 Regions |
| Commercial Real Estate |  |
| Auto Finance \& Dealer Services | + MA, RI, VT, NH, ME, TN, NJ, WI, |
| Private Financial Group | +FL |

## The Huntington Franchise


(1) Includes 14 PFG offices ( 2 in FL ) and 2 ATMs in MD. Market share at $6 / 30 / 11$

## A Strong Regional Presence

 FDIC deposit data as of June 30, 2011

| Deposits - Top 12 MSAs |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MSA | Rank | BBs | Deposits | Share |
| Columbus, OH | 1 | 77 | \$10,318 | 24.1\% |
| Cleveland, OH | 5 | 78 | 4,056 | 8.0 |
| Detroit, MI | 8 | 52 | 3,239 | 4.3 |
| Toledo, OH | 1 | 42 | 2,350 | 24.0 |
| Pittsburgh, PA | 8 | 42 | 2,342 | 2.9 |
| Cincinnati, OH | 5 | 42 | 1,508 | 3.4 |
| Youngstown, OH | 1 | 40 | 1,915 | 21.1 |
| Indianapolis, $\mathbb{N}$ | 4 | 45 | 2,061 | 6.5 |
| Canton, OH | 1 | 24 | 1,557 | 27.5 |
| Grand Rapids, MI | 3 | 23 | 1,353 | 10.5 |
| Akron, OH | 5 | 18 | 896 | 7.7 |
| Charleston, WV | 4 | 8 | 594 | 10.3 |

$\mathrm{BBs}=$ Banking Branches

|  | \% Deposits |
| :---: | :---: |
| \#1 Share markets | 39\% |
| \#1- \#3 Share markets | 5 42\% |

## Leadership Team



Senior Leadership Team

Stephen Steinour
Dan Benhase

Kevin Blakely
Helga Houston
Jim Dunlap
Don Kimble
Mary Navarro
Daniel Neumeyer

Nick Stanutz
Mark Thompson
Zahid Afzal
Stephen Brown
Elizabeth Allen
Dick Cheap
Keith Sanders

Experience - Yrs

| Appointed | Banking | HBAN |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1Q09 | 30 | 2 |
| 2Q06 | 29 | 11 |
| 3Q09 | 35 | 2 |
| 3Q11 | $30{ }^{(1)}$ | 1 |
| 1Q06 | 32 | 32 |
| 3Q04 | 29 | 7 |
| 1Q06 | 34 | 9 |
| 3Q09 | 28 | 2 |
| 2Q06 | 33 | 25 |
| 2Q09 | 31 | 2 |
| 1Q06 | $27^{(1)}$ | 5 |
| 2Q11 | 15 | 1 |
| 3Q09 | $30^{(1)}$ | 2 |
| 2Q98 | $34{ }^{(1)}$ | 13 |
| 1Q10 | $8{ }^{(1)}$ | 1 |
| fillilunk | gton | 116 |



## Business Segment Loans \& Deposits - 3Q11

$$
\text { Average Total Loans - \$39.3 B } \quad \text { Average Total Deposits - \$42.3 B }
$$



## Total Loans and Leases - By Business Segment

|  | Avg. Outstandings - \$39.3 Billion |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (\$B) | Retail \& Business Banking | Regional \& Comm'l Banking | AFCRE | WGH | Treas. / Other | Total |
| C\& | \$3.1 | \$8.1 | \$1.6 | \$0.8 | \$0.1 | \$13.7 |
| CRE | 0.4 | 0.4 | 5.1 | 0.2 | -- | 6.1 |
| Total commercial | 3.5 | 8.5 | 6.8 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 19.8 |
| Automobile loans \& leases | -- | -- | 6.2 | -- | 0.0 | 6.2 |
| Home equity | 7.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 8.0 |
| Residential mortgage | 1.0 | 0.0 | -- | 3.8 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Other consumer | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |
| Total consumer | 8.6 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 19.5 |
| Total loans | \$12.1 | \$8.5 | \$13.1 | \$5.5 | \$0.1 | \$39.3 |

## Total Deposits - By Business Segment

| 3Q11 | Avg. Balances - \$42.3 Billion |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Retail \& Business Banking | Regional \& Comm'l Banking | AFCRE | WGH | Treas. / Other | Total |
| DDA-noninterest bearing | \$4.0 | \$2.1 | \$0.4 | \$ 2.0 | \$0.2 | \$8.7 |
| DDA-interest bearing | 4.4 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 5.6 |
| Money market deposits | 7.7 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 |
| Savings and other domestic time deposit | 4.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 4.8 |
| Core certificates of deposit | 7.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 7.6 |
| Total core deposits | 28.1 | 3.7 | 0.7 | 7.2 | 0.2 | 40.0 |
| Other deposits | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| Total deposits | \$28.3 | \$3.9 | \$0.8 | \$8.2 | \$1.0 | \$42.3 |

## Business Segment Contribution

| (\$MM) | 3Q11 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retail \& Business Banking | \$37.3 | \$131.0 | \$(26.5) | \$257.8 |
| Regional \& Commercial Banking | 18.5 | 38.5 | (158.7) | 80.3 |
| AFCRE | 66.5 | 46.5 | (588.2) | (14.2) |
| WGH | 0.6 | 34.8 | 1.8 | 43.0 |
| Treas. / Other | 20.4 | 61.6 | (251.3) | (480.8) |
| Unallocated goodwill impairment ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | -- | $(2,573.8)$ | -- |
| Total Net Income | \$143.4 | \$312.3 | \$3,094.2 | \$(113.8) |
| (1) Represents the 2009 first quarter impairment charge, net of tax, associated with the former Regional Banking business segment. |  |  |  |  |

## Business Segment Overview

Regional \& Commercial Banking
Executive - Jim Dunlap

- 11 Region Presidents
- Middle Market Commercial Banking
- Specialty Banking
- Large Corporate
- Health-care
- Not-for-Profit
- Equipment Finance
- International Services
- Treasury Management
- Capital Markets
- Derivatives
- Foreign Exchange
- Securities Trading


## Retail \& Business Banking <br> Executive - Mary Navarro

- Branch Sales and Service
- 5 Retail Banking Areas
- Consumer Banking
- Business Banking
- In-Store Branches
- Deposit Product Pricing and Fees
- Marketing and Customer Experience
- Payments and Channels


## 11 Commercial Banking Regions



## Regional Banking Presidents

|  |  | Experience - Yrs |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Region | Appointed | Banking | HBAN |
| Jim Dunlap | West Michigan | $1 Q 06$ | 32 | 32 |
| Mike Fezzey | East Michigan | $4 Q 10$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Mike Newbold | Central Indiana | $4 Q 06$ | 35 | 8 |
| Sharon Speyer | Northwest Ohio | $1 Q 01$ | 23 | 19 |
| Daniel Walsh, Jr. | Greater Cleveland | $2 Q 10$ | 15 | 1 |
| Frank Hierro | Mahoning Valley | $4 Q 99$ | 33 | 33 |
| William Shivers | Greater Akron / Canton | $3 Q 09$ | 20 | 4 |
| Jim Kunk | Central Ohio | $1 Q 94$ | 30 | 30 |
| Mark Reitzes | Southern Ohio / Kentucky | $1 Q 08$ | 25 | 19 |
| David Hammer | Pittsburgh | $3 Q 09$ | 24 | 2 |
| Clayton Rice | West Virginia | $3 Q 07$ | 24 | 7 |

## 5 Retail and Business Banking Areas



## Increase Convenience - Ohio <br> Giant Eagle / Huntington Partnership



- 潎 Huntington


## GIANT EAGLE

362 Branches

+ 106 In -Store $=468$ Branches Over Time
\#1 in Ohio Branches

|  | $\%$ of Branches |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| MSA | $6 / 11$ | Pro Forma ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| Akron | $8.6 \%$ | $13 \%$ | \#2 |
| Canton | $18.0 \%$ | $22 \%$ | \#1 |
| Cleveland | $10.3 \%$ | $15 \%$ | \#1 |
| Columbus | $13.7 \%$ | $15 \%$ | \#1 |
| Youngstown | $19.8 \%$ | $23 \%$ | \#1 |

Planned Rollout

- $12 \%$ the cost of a traditional branch
- 32 opened
- 28 in 2011
- 89 by end of 2015
- Cash flow breakeven in < 2 years


## Retail \& Business Banking Executives

|  | Area | Experience - Yrs |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Appointed | Banking | HBAN |
| Loretta Stanton | Northeast Retail Area | 2Q10 | 21 | 21 |
| Robert Soroka | East Retail Area | 2Q09 | 26 | 8 |
| Brian Bromley | Northwest Retail Area | 2Q09 | 27 | 25 |
| Jonathan Greenwood | Central \& West Retail Areas | 2Q11 | 26 | 18 |
| Jim Baron | Branch Sales \& Service | 4Q10 | 33 | 8 |
| David Clifton | Chief Customer \& Marketing Officer | 4Q09 | 26 | 1 |
| Cindy Keitch | In-Store Channel Director | 2Q10 | 37 | 17 |
| Steve Rhodes | Business Banking Director | 4Q10 | 23 | <1 |
| David Schamer | Deposit Products Pricing \& Fees Director | 2Q09 | 17 | 2 |
| Mark Sheehan | Payments \& Channel Director | 4Q09 | 23 | 1 |
| Deborah Stein | Phone Bank Director | 2Q11 | 28 | 8 |
|  |  |  | nitington | 127 |

## Business Segment Overview

Wealth Advisors, Government Finance, and Home Lending Executive - Dan Benhase

- Wealth Advisors
- Trust / Portfolio Management
- Private Banking
- Retail Brokerage
- Government Finance
- Public Funds - Treasury Services and Lending
- Corporate Trust
- National Settlement
- Home Lending
- Mortgage Banking
- Consumer Lending
- Other
- Retirement Plan Services
- Huntington Asset Services
- Huntington Asset Advisors Huntington Funds

Automobile Finance and Commercial Real Estate
Executive - Nick Stanutz

- Auto Dealer Finance
- 8 Region Managers
- Consumer Indirect Auto Loans
- Dealer Commercial Loans
- Commercial Real Estate
- Asset Based Lending
- Mezzanine Lending



## Basis of Presentation

Use of non-GAAP financial measures
This document may contain GAAP financial measures and non-GAAP financial measures where management believes it to be helpful in understanding Huntington's results of operations or financial position. Where non-GAAP financial measures are used, the comparable GAAP financial measure, as well as the reconciliation to the comparable GAAP financial measure, can be found in this document, the 2011 Third Quarter Performance Discussion and Quarterly Financial Review supplements, the 2011 third quarter earnings press release, or the Form 8 K related to this document, all of which can be found on Huntington's website at www.huntington-ir.com.

Pre-Tax, Pre-Provision Income
One non-GAAP performance metric that Management believes is useful in analyzing underlying performance trends is pre-tax, pre-provision income. This is the level of earnings adjusted to exclude the impact of:

- provision expense, which is excluded because its absolute level is elevated and volatile in times of economic stress;
- available-for-sale and other securities gains/losses, which are excluded because in times of economic stress securities market valuations may also become particularly volatile,
- amortization of intangibles expense, which is excluded because return on tangible common equity is a key metric used by Management to gauge performance trends; and
certain items identified by Management to be outside of ordinary banking activities, and/or by items that, while they may be associated with ordinary banking activities, are so unusually large that their outsized impact is believed by Management at the time to be infrequent or short-term in nature, which Management believes may distort the company's underlying performance trends.
Annualized data
Certain returns, yields, performance ratios, or quarterly growth rates are presented on an "annualized" basis. This is done for analytical and decision-making purposes to better discem underlying performance trends when compared to full year or year-over-year amounts. For example, loan and deposit growth rates, as well as net charge-off percentages, are most often expressed in terms of an annual rate like $8 \%$. As such, a $2 \%$ growth rate for a quarter would represent an annualized $8 \%$ growth rate.


## Basis of Presentation

## Significant Items

From time to time, revenue, expenses, or taxes are impacted by items judged by Management to be outside of ordinary banking activities and/or by items that, while they may be associated with ordinary banking activities, are so unusually large that their outsized impact is believed by Management at that time to be infrequent or short term in nature. We refer to such items as "Significant Items". Most often, these Significant Items result from factors originating outside the company - e.g., regulatory actions/assessments, windfall gains, changes in accounting principles, one-time tax assessments/refunds, litigation actions, etc. In other cases they may result from Management decisions associated with significant corporate actions out of the ordinary course of business - e.g., merger/restructuring charges, recapitalization actions, goodwill impairment, etc.

Even though certain revenue and expense items are naturally subject to more volatility than others due to changes in market and economic environment conditions, as a general rule volatility alone does not define a Significant Item. For example, changes in the provision for credit losses, gains/losses from investment activities, asset valuation write downs, etc., reflect ordinary banking activities and are, therefore, typically excluded from consideration as a Significant Item.

Management believes the disclosure of "Significant Items" in current and prior period results aids analysts/investors in better understanding corporate performance and trends so that they can ascertain which of such items, if any, they may wish to include/exclude from their analysis of the company's performance- i.e., within the context of determining how that performance differed from their expectations, as well as how, if at all, to adjust their estimates of future performance accordingly. To this end, Management has adopted a practice of listing "Significant Items" in its external disclosure documents (e.g., earnings press releases, quarterly performance discussions, investor presentations, Forms 10-Q and 10 K ).
"Significant Items" for any particular period are not intended to be a complete list of items that may materially impact current or future period performance. A number of items could materially impact these periods, including those described in Huntington's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K and other factors described from time to time in Huntington's other filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

## Basis of Presentation

Fully-taxable equivalent interest income and net interest margin
Income from tax-exempt earning assets is increased by an amount equivalent to the taxes that would have been paid if this income had been taxable at statutory rates. This adjustment puts all earning assets, most notably tax-exempt municipal securities and certain lease assets, on a common basis that facilitates comparison of results to results of competitors.
Rounding
Please note that columns of data in the presentation may not add due to rounding.
Earnings per share equivalent data
Significant income or expense items may be expressed on a per common share basis. This is done for analytical and decision-making purposes to better discern underlying trends in total corporate earnings per share performance excluding the impact of such items. Investors may also find this information helpful in their evaluation of the company's financial performance against published earnings per share mean estimate amounts, which typically exclude the impact of Significant Items. Earnings per share equivalents are usually calculated by applying a $35 \%$ effective tax rate to a pretax amount to derive an after-tax amount, which is divided by the average shares outstanding during the respective reporting period. Occasionally, when the item involves special tax treatment, the after-tax amount is disclosed separately, with this then being the amount used to calculate the earnings per share equivalent.

## Forward Looking Statements

This document contains certain forward-looking statements, including certain plans, expectations, goals, projections, and statements, which are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. Forward-looking statements may be identified by words such as expect, anticipate, believe, intend, estimate, plan, target, goal, or similar expressions, or future or conditional verbs such as will, may, might, should, would, could, or similar variations.

While there is no assurance that any list of risks and uncertainties or risk factors is complete, below are certain factors which could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained or implied in the forward-looking statements (1) worsening of credit quality performance due to a number of factors such as the underlying value of the collateral could prove less valuable than otherwise assumed and assumed cash flows may be worse than expected; (2) changes in economic conditions; (3) movements in interest rates; (4) competitive pressures on product pricing and services; (5) success, impact, and timing of our business strategies, including market acceptance of any new products or services introduced to implement our "Fair Play" banking philosophy; (6) changes in accounting policies and principles and the accuracy of our assumptions and estimates used to prepare our financial statements; (7) extended disruption of vital infrastructure; (8) the final outcome of significant litigation; (9) the nature, extent, and timing of governmental actions and reforms, including the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act, as well as future regulations which will be adopted by the relevant regulatory agencies, including the Consumer Financial Protection Bureau (CFPB) to implement the Act's provisions; and (10) the outcome of judicial and regulatory decisions regarding practices in the residential mortgage industry, including among other things the processes followed for foreclosing residential mortgages. Additional factors that could cause results to differ materially from those described above can be found in Huntington's 2010 Annual Report on Form 10-K, and documents subsequently filed by Huntington with the Securities and Exchange Commission. All forward-looking statements included in this document are based on information available at the time of the release. Huntington assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.


[^0]:    (t) Percent of related loans and leases

